Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc. Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name:

Boeing Realty Corp. C-6, EM2727

Collection Date:

November 21, 2006

LDC Report Date:

March 28, 2007

Matrix:

Water

Parameters:

Dissolved Manganese

Validation Level:

Tier 2 & 3

Laboratory:

TestAmerica

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): IPK2470

Sample Identification

IWC001_WG112106_0001** MWC024 WG112106 001

^{**}Indicates sample underwent Tier 3 review

Introduction

This data review covers 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA SW 846 Method 6010B for Dissolved Manganese.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (October 2004) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIII.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a Tier 3 review. A Tier 2 review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Tier 2 criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks.

IV. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

The frequency of analysis was met.

The criteria for analysis were met.

V. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VI. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VIII. Internal Standards

ICP-MS was not utilized in this SDG.

IX. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

X. ICP Serial Dilution

ICP serial dilution was not performed for this SDG.

XI. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were acceptable for samples on which a Tier 3 review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Tier 2 criteria.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

XIII. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XIV. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

Boeing Realty Corp. C-6, EM2727 Dissolved Manganese - Data Qualification Summary - SDG IPK2470

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Boeing Realty Corp. C-6, EM2727 Dissolved Manganese - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG IPK2470

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



ANALYTICAL TESTING CORPORATION

17461 Derian Avenue. Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 261-1022 Fax: (949) 260-3297

TAIT Environmental/Boeing

701 N. Parkcenter Drive Santa Ana, CA 92705

Attention: Mehmet Pehlivan

Project ID: Boeing C-6 Torrance

EM2727

Report Number: IPK2470

Sampled: 11/21/06

Received: 11/21/06

DISSOLVED METALS

Analyte	Method	Batch	Limit	Limit				Analyzed	Qualifiers
Sample ID: IPK2470-07 (IWC00 Reporting Units: mg/l	1_WG112106_0001	- Water)		•			-		
Manganese	EPA 6010B-Diss	6K21150	0.0070	0.020	0.038	1 .	11/21/06	11/22/06	•
Sample ID: IPK2470-09 (MWC0 Reporting Units: mg/l	24_WG112106_000	1 - Water)							
Manganese	EPA 6010B-Diss	6K21150	0.0070	0.020	0.015	1	11/21/06	11/22/06	J

SDG#	t: 16470A4 #: IPK2470 atory: Test America	VALIC _		N COMF EPA Re			S WORKSHEET er 2/3		Date: 3/y//- Page: 1 of 1
METH	IOD: Dissolved Mangane	se (EPA	SW 84	6 Method	6010B)				2nd Reviewer:/\triangle
						12 .1	-d Mare	e	
	ion findings worksheets.	reviewe	а тог еа	cn or the t	rollowing v	valid	ation areas. Validation	tinain	gs are noted in attached
	Validation	Area				***	Comme	nts	
l.	Technical holding times			A	Sampling	dates	s: u/21/6b		
11.	Calibration			Á			,===, , , ,		
III.	Blanks			A ⁻			W-10-10-1		
iV.	ICP Interference Check Sam	ple (ICS) /	Analysis	A					
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis		•	A		- 0	mut		
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis			N					-
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples	(LCS)		A	Les				
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)			Ü	262	1	utilius		
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption	QC		M	3.		<u> </u>		
Х.	ICP Serial Dilution			W	W.	ne	yem-e 1		
XI.	Sample Result Verification			A	Not revie	wed f	or Tier II validation.	F	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data			A					
XIII.	Field Duplicates			V					
XIV.	Field Blanks			N					
Note: √alidate	A = Acceptable N = Not provided/applicable SW = See worksheet ed Samples: ** Indicates sample		R = Rin FB = Fi	eld blank	is detected		D = Duplicate TB = Trip blank EB = Equipment blank		
1	// // // // // // // // // // // // //	11				21		31	
	MWC024_WG112106_001	12				22		32	
3	P13	13				23		33	
4	1.	14				24		34	
5		15				25		35	
6		16	<u> </u>			26	•	36	-
7		17				27		37	
8		18				28		38	

Notes:

LDC#: 1649 AY SDG#: See www

Page:_	_of
Reviewer:_	bus
2nd Reviewer:_	1

Method: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
Extechnical holding times	i di			
All technical holding times were met.				
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	上		Service access	
II-Calipration			4	
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	<u> </u>			
Were the proper number of standards used?	1			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80- 120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	/			·
Were all Initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995? (Level IV only)	/		************	
III Branks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?		 		
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		1		÷ .
NV ICK interierce Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?	1			
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?	<u></u>	10072404010404		
LV-Matrix spike Matrix spike displicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP, Soil / Water.				
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil semples? A control limit of +/- RL(+/-2X RL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were ≤ 5X the RL.	/			
V. l'aboratory control samples				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	/			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?				
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	/			·
VI: Furnace Atomic Absorption QC				32.000 (1940)
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients > 0,995?			4	
Do all applicable analysies have duplicate injections? (Level IV only)				
For sample concentrations > Rt., are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%? (Level IV only)			~	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			V	

LDC# 6470 kg SDG#: Cer core

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: Yof Y Reviewer: _\m\ 2nd Reviewer: _\hat{\Phi}

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII-ICP Serial Philippe				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > 50X the IDL?		~		
Were all percent differences (%Ds) < 10%?			<u>~</u>	
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.				
Mijik interral Standards (ERA/SW, p46/Method 6020)			101.5	
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the 30-120% of the intensity o			1	
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?	Franklinks		/	
XxiRegional Quality Assurance end Quality Control 2.2 112 115 115 115 115 115 115				1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			_	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?	Land Central		_/	
Xf Sample it esqu' Verripation				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	1			
Xiroverall assessment of plata	,			
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	7			
XII Frield dipplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.				
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.				
XIII/Eleit blanks) - Part 1 -				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		_		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.				

SDG #: LDC #:

Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Reviewer: Page:

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = <u>Found</u> x 100 True

Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each enalyte <u>measured</u> in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Where

Standard ID			•		necalculated	30100	
	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	%R	8%	Acceptable (Y/N)
doi /m1	ICP (Initial calibration)	Hy	2857	3.0	66	7	7
GFA	GFAA (Initial calibration)						
CVA	CVAA (Initial calibration)						
col lop	ICP (Continuing calibration)	Z]0)	-	(0)	7 7	\
GFA	GFAA (Continuing calibration)	-					
CVA	CVAA (Continuing calibration)						
Cyar	Cyanide (Initial calibration)						
Cyer	Cyanide (Continuing calibation)			-			

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

CALCLC.4SW

LDC #: 16470 At SDG #: See Com

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: of Reviewer: www.

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%R) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recaluculated using the following formula:

Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).

True = Concentration of each analyte in the source. %R = Found × 100 True

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

RPD = <u>iS-Di</u> x 100 Where, S = Ork (S+D)/?

Where, S = Original sample concentration

D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

%D = 11-SDR1 × 100

Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L) SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

			and the same of th		Recalculated	Reported	
Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S / I (units)	True / D / SDR (units)	%R/RPD/%D	%R/RPD/%D	Acceptable (Y/N)
TESAR	ICP interference check	han Han	0,4762	0.5	95	ν¥	٨
Ž	Laboratory control sample		1850	ه می	86	98	
TPKN4564 Metrix spike	Matrix spike		(SSR-SR) 0, 913	a • 1	16	4.8	
	Duplicate	>	3.87	3,87	0	0	Š
	ICP serial dilution						

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10,0% of the recalculated results.

TOTCLC.4SW

LDC #:	(6470A4
SDG #:	se an

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET Sample Calculation Verification

Page:	of /
Reviewer;_	MB
2nd reviewer:	
	$v \subset V$

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see que	Have results been reported and	I calculated correctly? range of the instruments and	questions are identified as "N/A". within the linear range of the ICP?
Detected analy			were recalculated and verified using the
Concentration =	(RD)(FV)(Dil) (in. Vol.)(%S)	Recalculation:	
RD = FV =	Raw data concentration Final volume (ml)	From the	now Loto
In. Vol. = Dil = %S =	Initial volume (ml) or weight (G) Dilution factor Decimal percent solids	My = 0,03	183 mg/L

Sampie ID	Analyte	Reported Concontration (Mg/()	Calculated Concentration	Acceptable (Y/N)
	Mn	0,038	0.038	У
·				
<u> </u>				
				-
				,
-				